Secrt. II-27—40,004—6-11-28.

L. S. G (1929) Department.

G.S SERIES.

G.O. No. 2800 P. H.,

DATED 14/11/29.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Audit - Consolidated report for 1927-28 Certain irregularities committed by the Commissioner of Kasaragod Taluk in the expenditure of well works - Reports from the Presidents -

Recorded

C.F. 30.

DEPARTMENT.



Received 192 *Registered* 45388 192

Subject.

Grant- R. Wotks -Irregularities -in expenditure noticed in audit- Para 15 of audit report- South Kanara District for 1927-1928- Explanation of President,Taluk Board,Kasaragod submitted.

ENCLOSURES.
No (2) three
Spare copies

R.O. C. No. 1300/ D.B.29.

Station Mangalore *Dated the* 28th October 192 9.

From (Name) M.R.Ry Rao Bahadur B.Mahabala Hegde Avargal, B.A.B.L.,

(Designation) President,District Board, South Kanara.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Local Self Government Department.

Madras.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Memoramdum No 8343/ 1 D 2 P.H. dated 12th March 1929 and reminder dated 18. 9.1929 thereto, and in continuation of this Office letters R.O. C. 1300 DB 29 dated 4.5.1929 and 30.9.1929 , I have the honour to submit herewith a copy of the explanation of the President Taluk Board, Kasaragod received in reply to this Office R.C. 1300 DB 29 dated 8.10.1929 (copy enclosed) with regard to the irregularities pointed out in para 15 of the consolidated audit report of this District for 1927- 1928 in the execution of certain grant works.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

President.

DESPATCHED
5 NOV. 1929

4-XI-29

10

Dis 1429 DKTB 29.

Kasaragod Taluk Board Office,
Camp Ichlampady, dated 16.10.1929

From

M.R.Ry I.Chikkappa Bhandary Avargal,
President, Taluk Board, Kasaragod.

To

The President, District Board,
South Kanara.

Sir,

With reference to yourletter R.O. C. 1300 DB 29 dated the 8th instant, I have the honour to state that immediately after receipt of orders sanctioning subsidy for rural water -supply in December 1927, the then President, M.R.Ry A.C. Chathukutty Nayar Avargal, called for the necessary estimates from the Engineering Department but no estimates were received till 13th January 1928. He therefore in consultation with the L.F.Section Officer, Kasaragod, selected the well work shown in the margin and requested the L.F.Assistant Engineer, Puttur Division to furnish the necessary estimates and at the same time requested him to start the works and have them completed before the end of March 1928. This action of the President was also approved by the Taluk Board in its Resolution No 634 / 20.2.1928.

1.	Sinking and steining a well in Paivalike..	Rs 510
2.	Do do at Uppala	" 785
3.	Do do in the compound of the fish market at Kasaragod	" 865
4.	Do do at Padnekat	" 755
5.	Do at Kanhangad	" 210

2. The L. F. Section Officer ,Kasargod, while submitting estimates to the L.F. Assistant Engineer, Puttur, had also submitted copies of the estimates to this Office to avoid delay. These estimates were received on 1.2.1928 and were sanctioned by the Taluk Board in Resolution No 726/20.2.1928 .The estimates of these works were received after scrutiny from the L.F. Assistant Engineer,Puttur on 2.3.1928 and were sanctioned by the Taluk Board in Resolution No 835/26.3.28

3. The sites of all these wells have subsequently been got inspected by the Health Department and have been certified to by the District Health Officer as suitable. I have also to inform you that the remarks in regard to the irregularities referred to in para 15

were offered in this office letters R.O. C. No 166 Sup KTB / 19.4.1929 addressed to you and also in letter C.No 834 KTB 29 dated 12.2.1929 addressed to Government . The final orders of the Government in regard to the irregularities have also been communicated to this Office vide G.O. No 2921 Mis L and M.dated 19.6.29 communicated with your endorsement R.O. C.2958/ DB dated 25.6.29

I have etc.,

Sd: I.C. Bhandary,

President,Taluk Board.

True Copy

President,District Board,

South Kanara.

R.O. C. 1300 DB 29.

South Kanara District Board Office,

Mangalore, 8.10.29

From

M.R.Ry Rao Bahadur B.Mahabala Hegde Avargal,B.A.B.L.,

President,District Board,

South Kanara.

To

The President, Taluk Board,

Kasaragod.

Subject. Irregularities in expenditure noticed in audit.

Ref. Your letter R.C. 447/ KTB Sup,29 dated 28.9.29.

Sir,

Your letter R.C. No 166 Sup KTB 29 dated 19.4.1929 and C.No 834/ KTB dated 12.3.1929 were replies to irregularities pointed out in paras 19 and 14 (4) of the consolidated audit report of the South Kanara District for 1927- 1928. But the irregularities for which explanation has been called for in Government Memorandum No 8343/1 D 2 P.H.dated 12.3.1929 are those pointed out in para 15 of the same audit report relating to your Taluk Board. Please therefore expedite your explanation thereto in--- order to avoid further reminders from Government in the matter.

I have etc.,

Sd: B.M. Hegde,

President.

True Copy

President,District Board,

South Kanara

MA 123/29. 58/1-3

KA 1413

L.J

Genl. Revs.

Irregularities in expenditure to be brought to audit.

Memo 83431 dt 12/3

(15324)

A copy of paras 33 & 34 of appendix II to Part VII of the report on the working of the L.F. Audit Deptt for 1927-28 is communicated to the P.O.B. S. Kanara. He is requested to explain why the works were started before the estimates were sanctioned by the ~~Taluk Board~~ Competent Authority. His attention in this connection is invited to paragraphs 4 & 5 of Govt 1844 P&G 23-9-27 & Govt 2219 P&G 8.11.27, under which no work should be undertaken before the estimate for it has been sanctioned by Competent Authority.

2. The P.O.B is requested to report

1) When the estimates were

Sanctioned by Competent Authority;

~~2) When the works were~~
~~Whether the actual cost of~~

~~The works were~~
2) the actual cost of the works;

3) the grant drawn for them; and

4) whether the works were done
~~Satisfactorily~~ and ~~Check measured~~ certified to
by proper authority; and

5) why the tenders were not called for in 3 cases in the Kasaragod Taluk; and why the sites for wells were not approved by the Health Inspector ~~of~~ H.O.S.

He
11.3.29

Ld
11.3.29

Mrs

1/3/29

To the D.B.S. Kasaragod
Cuttack
15, 24 + 33
~~of the Deputy~~
re 1/53 Jf.

Dpd. by ~~Van~~ Poet
~~Messenger~~

Dpr's. initials. SJ

Date 14/3/192 9

S. R. 25. 10. 29

D2

DEPARTMENT.

3



Received MAY 1929 192 . *Registered* 18235 192

Subject.

Grant- R.Works - Irregularities in expenditure noticed in audit for 1928-29. Reports of Presidents of Taluk Boards Coondapoor and Karkal, submitted.

ENCLOSURES.
No Two only
Spare copies

Ref on C No. 1300/DB 29

Station Camp Mijar, *Dated the* 4th May 1929.

From (Name) M.R.Ry Rao Bahadur B. Mahabala Hegde Avargal, B.A.B.L.,
(Designation) President, District Board,
South Kanara.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Local Self Government Department.
Madras.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Memorandum No 8343-1- D 2 P.H. dated 12th March 1929, I have the honour to submit herewith copies of the reports of Presidents of Taluk Boards Coondapoor and Karkal on the irregularities in expenditure noticed in audit. The President Taluk Board, Kasaragod, hasnot yet sent his reply . A 2nd reminder has been sent to him.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

President.

NS 11/5

6.5.29 2457

Dis 149 DCTB 29

4

Coondapoor Taluk Board Office,
Coondapoor, 11.4.29

From

M.R..Ry V. Narasimha Karantha Avl,

President,Taluk Board,

Coondapoor.

To

The President, District Board,
South Kanara.

Sir,

Subject. Grant Works- Irregularitte in expenditure -noticed in audit.

With reference to your R. O. C. 1300 DB 29 dated 18.3.29, communi
cating copy of Government Memorandum No 8343/1 D 2 P.H.dated 12.3.29 I have the honour to state that the estimates for the four well works which were subsidised by Government were received late and therefore they could not be sanctioned by the Taluk Board sufficiently early. Hence the works were ordered to be commenced for execution in anticipation of the sanction of the Board. This procedure has since been discontinued.

2. The estimates were sanctioned by the Taluk Board in its Resolution No 377 dated 22.3.28 and the action of the President in starting the works in anticipation of sanction was also approved in this resolution.

3. The actual cost of the works and the grant drawn for them are as noted below.

Name of work.	Amount of estimate.	Actual cost incurred during 27-28	Grant drawn during 1927-28
1. Constructing a well in Gulvady village.	Rs 850	Rs 197	
2. Do in Kumbhasi village	" 995	" 228	Rs 299.14. 0
3. Do in Hadavu do	" 600	" 123	
4. Do in Nadu do	" 600	123	"156. 8. 0
		Rs 671.	456. 6. 0

5 / 456 (.

5

4. The works were done satisfactorily and certified to by the Assistant Engineer Udipi Division and the Taluk Board President

I have etc.,

Sd: V.Narasimha Karantha

President

True Copy

President, District

Board, South Kanara

C. & G. 98—3,30,998—10-10-28.

D.L.SS. Department. C.F. 93.

REMINDER. PH. Branch. **REPLY.**

To The President, South Kanara District Board, Mangalore.

To The Secretary to Govt. Local self Govt Dept. Madras

Sir,

The undersigned has the honour to invite attention to the communication noted in the margin and to request that this reminder may be returned at once with information as to—

(1) the stage at which the matter now is,

(2) the date by which a reply may be expected, and

(3) the circumstances which have caused the delay.

2. It is requested that the reply to this reminder may be sent not later than

Your No. 8243-147-PH, dated 12.3.29

I am Sir, The President, Taluk Board Kasaragod has been reminded. His reply will be submitted to Government on its receipt in this office.

Your most obedient servant

W.S. 24/10

for President, Taluk Board.

Office Secretary

Station Fort St George

Signature.

Asst Secy to Govt.

Designation.

Dated 13/6

SUBJECT

Audit-Irregularities regarding expenditure from Rural water supply Grant - Kasaragod Taluk - Circular calling for tenders for well works and obtaining the sanction of health officer for selection of sites - Reminder

DESPATCHED 24 JUNE 1929

Ref. 290.6.29

7

Ls/(Pl) Depts

Lodged

24/6

24.6.29

M 25/6

B.M. 1879

Appendix II to Part VII of the report on the working of L.F. Audit Deptt for 1927-28

---

15. In the Kasaragod taluk (South Kanara district) seven works under village communications and four works under water-supply, which were subsidized by Government, were commenced before the estimates relating to them were sanctioned by the competent authority and in three cases tenders were not called for as required by rule 254 of the Local Boards Manual. The selection of sites for wells did not also appear to have been made according to the instructions contained in G.Os. No. 1796, P.H., dated 2nd November 1923, and No. 172, P.H., dated 25th January 1928.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
1- MAR. 1929
LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT DEPART.

8337

NS/113

Appendix II Part VII of the report on the L.S. Audit-Depth - 1927-28

24. In the Coondapoor taluk (South Kanara district) four well works which were subsidized by Government were commenced before obtaining the Board's sanction to the estimates.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
28 FEB.1929
SELF-GOVERNMENT

8187

3/

Appendix II to Part II of the annual report on the working of the L.S.A. Audit Dept. for 1927.

33. In the Karkal Taluk (South Kanara district) two works which were subsidized by Government were commenced and expenditure incurred before the estimates were sanctioned by the taluk board.))

SECRETARIAT TO GOVERNMENT
1 MAR 1929
LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT DEPT.

8343

L. S-G (Pt II) Dept.

Paras 15, 24 & 33

A draft memo is submitted for approval

11-3-29

11.3.29

Mr 1-29 [Memo no 8343-1 dt 12-3-29]

My 3-5-29 Cpo 18235 from the PWD S. Kanara no 1300 dt 4-5-29

The replies submitted by the PWD S. Kanara relates to paras 24 and 33 of the Audit report and reply is due to para 15.

2. The reply to para 33 received from the P.W.D. Karkal relates to village Communications which is dealt with in C Section. Necessary extract have been given to that Section for action.

Sent to C Section

NS
11/3

3. As regards para 24 no action is called for in the circumstances stated by the P. T. B. Coondapoor. Before final orders are passed the reply from the ~~P. T. B. Kasaragod~~ to para 15 of the ~~audit report~~ may be awaited.

Ks 21/5

Ad 21.5.29

R 23/5

Madras 23/5

C. No. 65386, From the P. D. B. S. Kanara, Mps S - 12 cf No. 1300, dt 28-x-29 NS 25/5

The explanation of the P. T. B. Kasaragod with reference to para 15 of the audit report, ~~the P. T. B. Kasaragod~~ will be found on pp 10, 11 cf. The several irregularities in question were dealt with and orders have already been passed in G. O. No. 2921 L & T, dt 19-6-29 (H) pp 26-29 vide pp 30-34 of (H)

No further action is necessary. The papers may be recorded. The G.O. ~~is~~ dft vide below is for approval.

Ks 11/6/29

Dft G.O.

R 23/6

Ks 12/6

Sectt. II-6 (a)—48,004—6-11-28 (6)

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.	23/10/11	
When copied ..		
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

LSG(P)IV DEPARTMENT.

Audit. Consolidated audit report 1927-28. Certain irregularities committed by the Coondapoor and Kasaragod TK Bods. in the execution of certain works - Recorded

(H1

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 2921 La 9, dated 15 - 6 - 1929.

" " " " ..... " ..... 192 .

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 18235 From the P.DB. S. Kanara No. 1300 dated 6/5 1929.

" " 45388 " " —do— " 1300 " 28/a/ 1929.

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... 192 .

2800 Rt

ORDER.

No. ....., dated 14/11/ 1929.

This

Recorded.

To the P.D. B. S. Kanara

" PTB. Kasaragod

" " Coondapoor

" EK. L. F. A (Rto)

Dpd. by Van / Post / Messenger 1, 2, 3 / 4

Dprs. with L. 8/4

15/11 1929